

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-10861 of 2017

Date of Decision : October 30, 2017

Tirth Kaur Ahuja and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

...

Present: Mr. D.K.Prajapati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

LISA GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.64 dated 22.02.2017 under Sections 498-A/323/406/506/120-B/377/376 IPC registered at Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad.

It is submitted that during the pendency of this petition the matter has been resolved between the parties. A petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been filed. Statements of the parties at first motion have been recorded on 24.08.2017. Part of the settled amount, it is submitted, has been handed over to the complainant. Certified copy of the statement of the parties at first motion in the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed in Court today, is taken on record

subject to just exceptions. The petitioners, it is submitted, have joined investigation. Therefore, this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sham Shadali, Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad, verifies that the petitioners, who are the parents-in-law of the complainant, have indeed joined investigation pursuant to interim orders passed by this Court and their custodial interrogation is not required. The petitioners are not reported to be involved in any other criminal case. There is no allegation that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before the learned trial Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 30.03.2017 is made absolute.

However, liberty is afforded to the complainant to file appropriate application in case the terms and conditions of settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioners.

30.10.2017
rupi

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No