

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 10826 of 2017
Date of Decision: 01.05.2017**

Sandeep Goyal

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. read with Section 437 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No. 107 dated 07.09.2015, registered under Sections 406/420/120-B I.P.C., at Police Station Lahori Gate, District Patiala.

The petitioner was arrested in FIR No. 91 dated 01.01.2016, registered under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B I.P.C., at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, District Bathinda,

on 31.01.2016.

The present FIR No. 107 was registered on 07.09.2015, in which the petitioner was declared to be a proclaimed offender on 04.02.2016. On 23.03.2017, the presence of petitioner was sought to be secured by way of issuing production warrants. Ultimately on 19.04.2017, petitioner was produced in the Court and was taken in custody in the present case.

As per disclosure statement of co-accused Ranbir Singh, some amount was paid to the petitioner.

No recoveries have been effected from the petitioner so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate.

So far as the complicity of the petitioner is concerned, supplementary challan is yet to be presented.

The petitioner is in judicial custody.

Learned State counsel on instructions from A.S.I. Hardeep Singh submitted that supplementary challan is almost ready to be filed in the Court and the petitioner is also involved in a number of similar cases.

The aforesaid fact has been countered by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is on bail in other cases, except in FIR No. 97 of the year 2014.

At this stage, without commenting on merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate, Patiala.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

May 01, 2017.

Apurva

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No