

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2490 of 1996

Date of Decision:31.07.2017

Chanderwati

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Advisor to the Administrator, U.T. and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivangi Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel is in some personal difficulty. Perusal of the file, it is evident that there is no vakalatnama on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner who sought for an adjournment. Therefore, question of seeking an adjournment do not arise.

Matter relates to the year 1996.

Petition stands dismissed for nor prosecution.

31.07.2017

rajeev

**(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No