

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.1370 of 2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 22, 2017

Chandan Singh & another

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajbir Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is with regard to appointment of respondent No.4 as Sarpanch, who was not conforming to the provisions of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994. The election was for the period of 2000. By this time, the period must have been over. Even otherwise, while admitting the writ petition, there was no interim order.

In my view, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition. The same stands dismissed.

February 22, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No