

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10686 of 2017

Date of decision: July 26, 2017

Shiv Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioners seek grant of regular bail in FIR No.41 dated 14.02.2016, under Sections 341, 323, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 379-B and 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

I have seen the evidence of PW3-Amanpreet Singh. Looking to the evidence of the said witness, I find that all the petitioners are entitled to grant of bail. It is true that against petitioner No.1-Shiv Kumar, there are two more cases. But then, Shiv Kumar will have to file an undertaking before the trial Court that he will not indulge in similar or any other kind of offences in future.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed.

Petitioners shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the

Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned. Petitioner No.1-

Shiv Kumar shall file an undertaking before the trial Court that he will not indulge in similar or any other kind of offence in future. Petitioners shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 26, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No