

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10646 of 2017

Date of decision: August 08, 2017

Bunty @ Shailender Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.37 dated 17.01.2017, under Section 379 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Rajendra Park, Gurugram, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 29.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Yogesh Kumar states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 29.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No