

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10630 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02 June, 2017**

Shamshu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that on 16.10.2016 the petitioner who happens to be the brother-in-law being husbands's younger brother of the complainant Parmeena, who is present in the Court today and identified by her counsel Mr. Afjal Hussain, Advocate had made the complainant Parmeena consume some poisonous substance and as a consequence of which, she was rushed to hospital and on regaining consciousness has made this statement.

The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the parties are close relatives and there is compromise arrived at between the parties and the complainant Parmeena who has been identified by her counsel has made statement that she does not oppose the grant of bail to the petitioner and the matter has already been compromised between them.

In view thereof and keeping in view that the petitioner is behind the bars since 10.03.2017 and that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

June 02, 2017

aarti

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No