

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1) CRM-M No.11529 of 2016
Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

Jitram and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

2) CRM-M No.11530 of 2016
Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

Kanchan Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M No.11529 of 2016) and
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M No.11530 of 2016).

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M No.11530 of 2016) and
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M No.11529 of 2016).

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M No.11529 of 2016
(Jitram and others Versus State of Haryana and another) and

CRM-M No.11529 of 2016

CRM-M No.11530 of 2016 (Kanchan Singh and others Versus State of Haryana and others) as in both the petitions, petitioners have preferred petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of the FIR No.365 dated 12.07.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 506 IPC, Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal and FIR No.260 dated 18.05.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal, respectively.

Even though the quashing of the impugned FIRs has been sought on the basis of compromise. Yet, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Indraj, City Palwal, would apprise the Court that statements of all the prosecution witnesses have already been recorded.

In the light of such circumstances, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners herein pray for withdrawal of the petition(s).

Both the petitions stand dismissed as not pressed.

[TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA]
JUDGE

08.02.2017
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No