

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

267

CRM-M-10545 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Sukhdeep Kaur and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

The instant petition was filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take
necessary and adequate steps for protection of life and liberty of
the petitioners.

Pleadings on record would indicate that both the
petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 was already married to one
Jiwan Singh and out of wedlock, one female child was born.

Apparently, petitioners while raising a prayer for
protection, are taking a stand that they are now in a live in
relationship.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 25.04.2017, this Court

was apprised that custody of the minor child namely Hussanpreet is with the husband namely Jiwan Singh.

Accordingly, towards protection of the interest of the minor child and for her welfare, an undertaking of the counsel appearing for the petitioners had been recorded that petitioner No.2 namely Sukhdeep Singh would bring a Fixed Deposit receipt drawn in the name of the minor child Hussanpreet for an amount of ₹5 lacs.

During the course of resumed hearing today, Court has been informed that Sukhdeep Kaur (petitioner No.1) has returned into the matrimonial fold and is staying with her husband namely Jiwan Singh. Even the statement of petitioner No.1 has been recorded and as per which, she has come back to her husband of her own will and accord.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners has also expressed the inability of Sukhdeep Singh (petitioner No.2) to furnish the Fixed Deposit receipt of `5 lacs and for which, an undertaking had been furnished on 25.04.2017.

In the light of such factual premise and circumstance, no directions are required to be passed in this petition.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

30.05.2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned : ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable : ***Yes/No***