

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11486-2016
Date of Decision: 27.11.2017**

Jitender Singh @ Raja & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. K. S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A. S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 89 dated 17.08.2012, registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B (added later on) of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise which has been entered into between the parties during the pendency of this petition.

The aforesaid FIR was registered on the statement of respondent No. 3/complainant Balbir Singh under the aforesaid sections. However, later on, with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Additional District & Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no PO proceeding is pending against either of the parties. In fact, the daughter of the complainant has solemnized marriage with petitioner No. 1 Jitender Singh @ Raja and out of this wedlock, a male child has born.

Mr. A. S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme

Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 89 dated 17.08.2012, registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B (added later on) of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

27.11.2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No