

422

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17208 of 1998 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.4.2017

Manmohan Singh Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that they have addressed a communication to the petitioner to get instructions as he wants to pursue the present writ petition or not. It has been stated that the letter has been received back that the petitioner has expired and the LRs of the petitioner have not contacted the learned counsel for the petitioner so far. Therefore, he pleads no instructions.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of for the time being with liberty to the LRs of the petitioner to revive the same, if they so desire.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.4.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No