

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-10528 of 2017
Date of Decision : 24.07.2017

Inderjit @ Rahul Bulandi and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Gourav Gupta, Advocate for
Ms. Deepika, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No. 17, dated 04.02.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 342, 427, 34 IPC and Section 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on the basis of compromise dated 18.03.2017 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations against the petitioners are that the petitioners had put pistol on the forehead of the complainant and had hit him. Learned counsel further contends that all the injuries are simple in nature and the parties have compromised the matter.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 28.03.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to record the

statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)-cum-SDJM, Malout, dated 26.04.2017, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded, which indicates that they have entered into compromise voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

Only simple injuries are stated to have been caused in the incident and the parties want to put quietus to the litigation. In view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 17, dated 04.02.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 342, 427, 34 IPC and Section 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 24, 2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? No