

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-10495 of 2017

.....

Date of decision:5.4.2017

Gobind Rai alias Gopi

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.25 dated 13.2.2016 registered for
the offences under Sections 323, 324, 307, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at
Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this
petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Assistant Advocate General, Punjab appearing for the respondent-State and
have gone through the record.

[2]

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is named in the FIR. He was stated to be armed with a 'Kirpan' and he attacked the complainant with 'Kirpan' which hit on his forehead and second blow with 'Kirpan' was given by co-accused on the backside of his head. Other co-accused also gave injuries to the complainant. The injury dangerous to life has been attributed to the present petitioner. The challan has been presented and the case is at preliminary stage as charges have not been framed yet. If the petitioner is granted the bail at this stage, there is every apprehension that he may tamper with the evidence. Otherwise also, he being the main accused, I do not find it a fit case where the present petitioner is entitled to the benefit of bail at this stage.

Therefore, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

April 5, 2017.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No