

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10468-2017

Date of decision: 05.09.2017

Aman Bhateja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Sharma Haritwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to Aman Bhateja in case FIR No.295 dated 03.12.2016 under Sections 323, 406, 498A IPC, registered at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaishal.

This Court was pleased to pass the following order on 06.04.2017 :-

“Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is open for mediation and settlement of the dispute one way or the other.

Counsel for the complainant would urge that at present the complainant is not ready to resume cohabitation but she is not averse to the idea of settlement by parting ways on payment of money towards her claim of dowry articles, maintenance and permanent alimony.

The petitioner and the complainant shall remain present before the Mediator in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of

this Court on 25.4.2017.

The petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs. 15,000/- to the complainant towards litigation expenses on her first appearance before the Mediator.

To await report, adjourned to 6.7.2017.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall not be taken in custody in order to examine possibility of an amicable settlement.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and a compromise has been effected between the parties. The petitioner has also filed CRM-M-24393-2017 for quashing of the said FIR.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State confirms the aforesaid fact.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and compromise has been effected between the parties, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 06.04.2017 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation; will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

05.09.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.