

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 10397 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.04.2017**

Narender

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.242 dated 23.09.2016, registered under Sections 386/506/482/34 I.P.C., at Police Station Khol, District Rewari.

Brother of the petitioner, namely Vipin, is lodged in District Jail, Narnaul. The allegations are that the complainant received a call from Vipin, in respect of ransom at the rate of Rs. 12/- per ton to be paid by the complainant in the business of

Contractorship. Krishan also received a call from the mobile of Vipin to the same effect.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he facilitated the conferencing call between Vipin and the complainant.

During the course of arguments, *prima facie*, it has been found that there were direct calls from the phone of Vipin to the complainant as well as from the phone of the petitioner to the complainant. The connectivity through conferencing call would remain a debatable issue with reference to evidence on record. The complainant Dheeraj and Krishan have diluted their version in the context of receiving call from Vipin.

Learned State counsel on instructions from A.S.I. Mam Chand submitted that seven prosecution witnesses out of total nineteen witnesses have been examined. Now, the case is fixed for 20.04.2017 before the trial Court. Petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Petitioner is in custody since 24.09.2016.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

April 19, 2017.

Apurva

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No