

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-10381 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 28, 2017**

Tejinder Pal Singh

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has purchased a flat in joint name with his wife i.e. respondent No. 4. There is no complaint against him filed by his wife. However, respondent No. 5, who is father of respondent No. 4, is pressurizing the petitioner through police to transfer his 50% share of the flat in the name of respondent No. 4.

In view of submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 1-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to look into the grievance raised by the petitioner and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is however made clear that nothing contained in this order

shall be interpreted as giving direction to the police to intervene in the property dispute, matrimonial dispute, civil, criminal or other litigation between the petitioner and his wife or to give him protection in any civil, criminal and other proceedings.

March 28, 2017
Jyoti-II

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>