

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 110

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-10376 of 2017

Date of Decision : March 27, 2017

Khushpreet Kaur and another Petitioners
vs.
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Parneet Singh Baidwan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of their family members and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is

disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala

(respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 27, 2017
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.