

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18531 of 1997 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 22, 2017

R.P. Chawla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice issued to the learned counsel for the petitioner has been received back unserved with the report that the present case does not belong to him. Notice issued to the petitioner has also been received back unserved with the report that he is not residing at the given address. The Registry had also reported that the process fee was not filed. However, the respondents have appeared. It hardly matters that the process fee has been filed or not. None is appearing for the petitioner.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No