

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10353 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 26, 2017

Neeraj

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that on 02.03.2017 the petitioner was arrested and during the course of investigations he has confessed that on 28.02.2017 he had snatched a purse which contained Rs.600/-, in Indian currency notes and which was alleged to have been recovered at that point of time by the Police on his statement.

The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is behind the bars since 02.03.2017 and that there is no other criminal case pending against him.

The factual scenario has not been disputed by the learned State counsel who has also not disputed the period of incarceration as well as recovery so contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Appreciating the submissions, in the light the recovery having been effected after delay of period of time between alleged

occurrence and recovery of amount and that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner and that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No