

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.10304 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.03.2017

Balbir Singh

-Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to project the case where respondent No.3 at one point of time called necessary reports from concerned quarters for the purposes of proceeding further in a case of cognizable offence.

Learned counsel further submits that needful has not been done so far for the reasons best known to respondent No.3.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the case, respondent No.3 can be obligated to see the nature of complaint filed by the petitioner and in case the same discloses any cognizable offence, then lawful action may be initiated. If the complaint does not disclose any cognizable offence, then, intimation be given to the petitioner as per parameters laid down

in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

It would be appreciated if the necessary order is passed within a period of one month after receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

27.03.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No