

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Date of Decision: 16.05.2017

1. CRM-M No.10282 of 2017

Chhotu RamPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

2. CRM-M No.10799 of 2017

Harjinder SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

3. CRM-M No.14107 of 2017

Jagir SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate and
Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vide this common order, CRM-M No.10282 of 2017
titled Chhotu Ram Vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M No.10799 of
2017 titled Harjinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and CRM-M

CRM-M No.10282 of 2017
CRM-M No.10799 of 2017
CRM-M No.14107 of 2017

-2-

No.14107 of 2017 titled Jagir Singh Vs. State of Haryana are being disposed of.

Harjinder Singh was the original allottee. He entered into an agreement to sell with Jagir Singh and Seema Rani on 14.03.2012. An amount of Rs.7 lacs was paid towards earnest money. Thereafter, Jagir Singh and Seema Rani entered into an agreement to sell with Chhotu Ram and Pardeep Verma on 08.10.2014. The aforesaid Chhotu Ram and Pardeep Verma decided to purchase half share each of the entire property. Subsequently, Chhotu Ram transferred his 50% share in favour of Pardeep Verma (complainant). The allegations have been made that Jagir Singh has persuaded Harjinder Singh i.e. the original allottee not to sign the documents in favour of the complainant despite full payment made by the complainant to Jagir Singh and Seema Rani.

Today, Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner in CRM-M No.10799 of 2017 submits that the original allottee Harjinder Singh is ready to pass lawful title in favour of Jagir Singh.

Seema Rani was one of the vendor of the complainant and she has been declared to be innocent in the police inquiry conducted by Economic Offence Wing (EOW) of

CRM-M No.10282 of 2017
CRM-M No.10799 of 2017
CRM-M No.14107 of 2017

-3-

Haryana.

In view of nature of allegations in the present cases,
It appears that the same are having civil profile.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI
Sushil Kumar submits that the petitioners have joined the
investigation. The recoveries *inter se* if any would be subject to
adjudication by the competent Court.

In view of above, orders dated, 24.03.2017,
30.03.2017 and 26.04.2017 are made absolute.

16.05.2017
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE