

**104+202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10267-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-25.05.2017**

Raj and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana assisted by
HC Pardeep Kumar.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

CRM-17837-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Affidavit is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-10267-2017

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.87 dated 14.03.2017, registered under Sections 427, 452 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

On 24.03.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Contends that the present FIR is the counter blast of FIR No.183 dated 13.03.2017, registered at PS Pinjore, District Panchkula against the son of the complainant.
Notice of motion for 28.04.2017.*

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 24.03.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation. The learned State counsel, submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

May 25, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No