

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-10232 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 19, 2017

Sandeep Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Kaler, DAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in F.I.R. No.14 dated 11.11.2013 under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 218, 201, 120-B of Indian Penal Code and Section 7, 13(1)(d) read with Section 13(2) and Sections 8, 12 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Flying Squad-1, Punjab at Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar (Mohali)

On 27.03.2017, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.14 dated 11.11.2013, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 218, 201, 120-B of IPC and Sections 7, 13 (1) (d) read with Section 13 (2) and Sections 8, 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Flying Squad-1, SAS Nagar, Punjab.

Counsel would contend that the petitioner had been granted bail and had been duly appearing

before the trial Court. However, he could not appear on 27.02.2017 for the reason that he had been implicated in yet another FIR bearing No.51 dated 14.02.2017, under Sections 21, 22, 61 of NDPS Act read with Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station 'A' Division, Amritsar and in which the petitioner was arrested and remained in custody till 06.03.2017 when he was granted bail by the competent Court. It is, accordingly, contended that non-appearance on 27.02.2017 before the trial Court in the present FIR stands completely explained. Counsel submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join trial proceedings.

Notice of motion, returnable for 19.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that in case the petitioner appears before the trial Court within a period of one week from today, he would be entitled to interim bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court."

During the course of hearing today, counsel appearing for the petitioner has furnished for perusal of this Court, a copy of order dated 01.04.2017, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mohali and which would reveal that the petitioner has duly appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted to interim bail upon furnishing requisite personal bonds and surety bonds.

Petitioner having joined trial proceedings, prayer made in the present petition is accepted.

The order dated 27.03.2017, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

19.05.2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No