

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.10195 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.03.2017

Harmanjot Singh Sodhi

-Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take lawful action on the complaint filed by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition.

Without embarking upon merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected by him in his representation dated

07.03.2017. If the representation discloses any cognizable offence then respondent No.3 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been made out, then the petitioner may be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

27.03.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No