

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 110

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-10176 of 2017

Date of Decision : March 24, 2017

Nisha and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of their family members and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 20.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made by the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Faridabad but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Faridabad

(respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 20.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 24, 2017
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>