

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10148 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 18, 2017

Daljit Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners claim that they are having been summoned to the police station and respondent Nos.4 to 6, who are police officials are using bad names and humiliating them.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Pal, has stated that petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are not required in any case and it is stated that they were never called to the police station and will not be summoned. If their presence is required, they will be served notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. Regarding petitioner No.3, it is stated that he is convict in several cases.

The arrest in a criminal case is one thing and summoning to the police station in any case is another thing.

In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents that in future, the petitioner Nos.1 and 2 will not be summoned to the police station without issuing any notice under

Section 160 Cr.P.C., stating the reasons for which their presence is required, specifying the time on which they are asked to appear and for how much time. So far as petitioner No.3 is concerned, if he commits any crime, the police can summon him.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 18, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No