

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-
CRM-M No. 10096-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 5.4.2017

Harish Kumar @ Ricky

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. R.D. Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 12 dated 25.3.2012 under Sections 363, 366 IPC registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 19.2.2015 (Annexure P-2).

The brief facts of the case are that Respondent No. 2 father of the respondent No. 3 lodged the FIR in question on the ground that on 15.2.2012 at about 6-7 a.m his daughter gone outside the house for nature call who did not return because the petitioner eloped his minor daughter on the pretext of marrying her. The date of birth of respondent No 3 is 1.11.1994 and she was minor at the time of incident.

The matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 7.12.2015, report dated 28.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and he does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be

quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily.

To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 28.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

FIR No. 12 dated 25.3.2012 under Sections 363, 366 IPC registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

5.4.2017
preeti

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>