

210-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10089 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 17, 2017

Tayab

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.12 dated 03.02.2017, under Sections 307, 379, 411, 420, 473, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 27, 29, 35 (5) of Wild Life Protection Act, 1972 added later on, registered at Police Station Khizrabad, District Yamuna Nagar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 24.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from the police official states that pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the mater, interim order dated 24.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No