

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10087-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 07.04.2017

KANWALPREET SINGH

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. R.S. Nain, AAG, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.24, dated 15.02.2017, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station B Division, Amritsar City, District Amritsar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 24.03.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He states that father of the petitioner had agree to sale the property for the consideration of Rs.24.50 lakh and received the amount of Rs.21 lakh. However, father of the petitioner has expired.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

07.04.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No