

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10075 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.03.2017

Satish @ Bucha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Navneet Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.161 dated 08.05.2016, registered under Sections 148, 302, 120-B read with Sections 34 & 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Lines, Sonipat.

It is contended that neither any injury is attributed nor any recovery was effected from the petitioner. Even as per the case of the prosecution, he has been shown to be empty handed. He is in custody since 20.05.2016. The challan stands presented; charges have been framed.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application and states that as per enquiry, deceased Rahul had illegitimate relation with mother of co-accused Vishal. Ealier also, Vishal threatened deceased Rahul to stay away from his mother. The petitioner was arrested on 20.05.2016 along with co-accused from the vehicle used in the crime. During the investigation, two pistols from Vishal and Mohit were recovered. He further states that the petitioner has actively participated in the crime to dissuade the public not to come to rescue of the deceased.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the role of the petitioner and nature of offence, no case for grant of relief sought, is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No