

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 1006 of 2017
Date of decision: 30.03.2017

Rashdeep Singh alias Rasveer Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. G S Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Ankit Duggal Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioner prays for quashing of FIR No. 03 dated 04.01.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 354 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Police Station Baretta District Mansa and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner and the respondents have mutually resolved their differences vide compromise deed dated 04.01.2017.

Vide order dated 16.01.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Budhlada, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the Court below.

Counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 has conceded to the position.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another***', 2012(4) ***R.C.R. (Criminal)*** 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 03 dated 04.01.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 354 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Police Station Baretta District Mansa and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of

compromise dated 04.01.2017, stand quashed qua the petitioner. The parties shall remain bound by terms and conditions of compromise.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

30.03.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
whether reportable	:	Yes/No