

243

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10055-2017
Date of decision : 15.05.2017**

Rajni Devi and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Deepak K. Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C praying for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take adequate steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents on the ground that the petitioners have entered into matrimony against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

While issuing notice of motion on 23.03.2017, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, learned D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts

notice on behalf of respondents no.4 to 6. Requisite copies of the petition be furnished to learned counsel for respondents during the course of the day. Response to the present petition be filed positively on or before the adjourned date.

List on 28.4.2017.

In the meanwhile, S.H.O., Police Station, Pipli, District Kurukshetra is directed to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI-Prem Singh, informs the Court that in compliance of order dated 23.03.2017, both the petitioners, are accommodated at Safe House, Police Line, Kurukshetra.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6, i.e., the close and immediate relatives of the petitioner No.1-Rajni Devi. He informs the Court that the statements of the private respondents have been recorded and as per which they have accepted the matrimonial alliance between petitioner No.1 and 2.

The petitioners have attained the age of majority. Under such circumstances, no further directions are required to be passed in the petition.

In light of the fact that the petition itself has been disposed of, the SHO, Police Line Pipli, District Kurukshetra is directed to ensure the release of the petitioners from Safe House, Police Line, Kurukshetra and the petitioners would be at liberty to stay together at their matrimonial home.

At this stage, contention raised by the counsel appearing for the private respondents, is noticed, to the effect that it is an inter-caste marriage and under a scheme formulated by the State Government, the petitioners would be eligible to a particular financial incentive.

Without going into the correctness of such submission, suffice it to observe that in case, the petitioners are eligible and are granted any financial incentive, petitioner No.2 would be obligated to deposit such sum of money in a fixed deposit receipt in the name of petitioner No.1-Rajni Devi.

Petition stands disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No