

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-10023 of 2017
Decided on: 26.04.2017**

Kiran and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of regular bail in FIR No.103 dated 04.07.2016 under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') registered at Police Station G.R.P., Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are the mother-in-law, sister-in-law and wife respectively of Raman Sahdev who committed suicide. It is further submitted that Raman Sahdev and his mother committed suicide by coming in front of a train but they did not leave behind any suicide note attributing any role to the petitioners. The FIR was registered at the instance of Ramesh Chander living in the neighbourhood of Raman Sahdev but he failed to support case of the prosecution. Two other material witnesses in the case have been examined but they were declared hostile. It is further submitted that the petitioners are ready to face trial, in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State has not disputed that 03 material witnesses already examined before the trial Court have not supported

the prosecution case. It is further argued that 08 witnesses of the prosecution out of 33 cited by the prosecution have already been examined.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

The material witnesses have already been examined in the case and they have not supported the prosecution case. Till date, only 08 witnesses have been examined out of 33 cited by the prosecution. There is no allegation against the petitioners that they are likely to flee from the process of justice in case enlarged on bail. Conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case, bail to the petitioners subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. However, they shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) They shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- (ii) They shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

26.04.2017
yakub

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No