

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10014-2017

Date of decision: 23.03.2017

Sunaina and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. M.K.Pundir, Advocate for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.1 is major and residing with the family of petitioner No.2 with her own sweet free will. They have solemnized marriage. He cites '**Neelam Rani and another Vs. State of Haryana and others**', 2011 (1) RCR (Civil) 636, to contend that the petitioner No.2 has reached the age of discretion. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 to 8.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to take necessary action on the representation

dated 21.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law in case he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 23, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No