

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10011 of 2017

Date of decision: March 24, 2017

Sukhjot Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

This petition is directed against the order dated 06.12.2016 dismissing the application for summoning the additional accused under Section 319 of the Code of the Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.').

I have perused the deposition of the petitioner so also the FIR. In the light of the parameters laid down in the judgment of *Hardeep Singh versus State of Punjab and others*, 2014(3) SCC 92, by the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court, the trial Court has made no mistake in rejecting the application which is clear from the inconsistencies in the FIR and the fact that the petitioner did not name, in his substantive evidence before the Court, about the persons proposed to be summoned under Section 319 Cr. P.C. In that view of the matter, there is no merit in the petition.

Hence, the petition stands dismissed. Trial Court to proceed further with the trial.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 24, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No