

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-10003 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION : 30.03.2017

Neeraj

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

* * *

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation, he points to the sequence of events given in the FIR, in which the petitioner as also seven other co-accused are shown to have broken into the emergency ward of one BBMB hospital and inflicted injuries to one Gurcharan Singh and other persons. Though no overt role as regards the injuries is attributed to the petitioner, however, he submits that he was specifically named as one of those who entered the emergency wing of the hospital, in respect of which incident even the hospital authorities have registered a complaint, though without naming any body specifically.

In the aforesaid circumstances, I see no reason why the interim bail granted to the petitioner should be continued.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

March 30, 2017
ndj/rajneesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No