

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14832 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-12.05.2017.

Ram Phal Khatkar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner and the third respondents are candidates for recruitment to the post of Hindi Teacher. The petitioner is challenging the 3rd respondent's appointment order to the post of Hindi Teacher. The process of selection and appointment is made by the Management of the second respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Management has not been arrayed as party as the second respondent-Institution was taken over by the Administrator. In view of the decision in CR No.4315 of 2012 decided on 27.11.2013 read with the Notification issued by the Government,

the present litigation is required to be decided by the Education Tribunal. Therefore, the Registry is hereby directed to transmit writ papers to the jurisdictional Education Tribunal within a period of 3 three weeks from today. The Education Tribunal is requested to decide the petition within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the records. Parties are directed to appear before the Education Tribunal on 7.7.2017.

Petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.