

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A No.680-MA of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.01.2017**

Surjit Kumar

.....Appellant

Versus

Ranjeet Yadav

... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:None.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

On 02.11.2015, learned counsel for the appellant sought time to file copies of interlocutory orders passed in this case in order to establish his *bona fide* that he had been appearing before the trial Court regularly and the complaint was dismissed for want of prosecution only on the basis of single default in appearing. The needful was not done. On the adjourned date i.e. 15.01.2016, the Coordinate Bench of this Court granted one opportunity and in the event of failure on the part of the appellant, the appeal was to be dismissed for non-prosecution. Despite the aforesaid order having been passed on 15.01.2016, learned counsel sought time on 07.09.2016 and the case was adjourned for today.

Today also despite three pass overs, none has appeared in this case, nor the order dated 15.01.2016 has been complied with. The aforesaid order specifically recited that in the

event of failure on the part of the appellant to comply with the order dated 02.11.2015, the appeal shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of aforesaid order, this Court has no other option, but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

19.01.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No