

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-89-1992 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 28, 2017

Anil Kumar

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

Rashmi and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Viney Phogat, Advocate for
Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate
for the respondent.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

In the morning when the case was called, Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of counsel representing the appellant and submitted that she has no instructions from the appellant and the case was passed over.

Now when the case has been called second time, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant and as reported by the Registry, notice issued to the appellant had been received back duly served through his father, but the appellant has not appeared.

In view of the matter, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

In case the appellant appears, he will be at liberty to move an application for restoration of the appeal.

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

April 28, 2017

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No