

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-337-MA-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision:11.09.2017

Munish Kumar

.....PETITIONER

V/S

Dinesh Kumar and Another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate for the
applicant-appellant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the applicant-appellant.

He vehemently argued that none of the authorities including police has taken any action against the respondent-accused in the matter of proceedings under Section 174-A of IPC. He fairly states that the complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short 'N.I. Act') stands resolved, since the compromise was entered into and the complaint under Section 138 of N.I. Act stands disposed of accordingly in terms of the compromise.

In my opinion, the main case having been disposed of by way of compromise between the parties, there is no point in riding a dead horse.

In view of the matter, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

11.09.2017
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No