

**CWP No.16993 of 1997**

**Balwant Singh Vs. State of Punjab and ors.**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms.Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Punjab,  
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr.Arun Gosain, Advocate,  
for respondent No.3.

\* \* \* \* \*

As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back with the report that he has since expired. It has been stated by learned counsel for respondent No.3 – Municipal Council that on the death of the petitioner, the present writ petition has become infructuous as the impugned order is the notification issued by Government of Punjab removing the petitioner as Member, Municipal Council, Dina Nagar, who has since expired.

In view of these facts, the present writ petition does not survive and the same stands disposed of as infructuous.

**(R.K.Nehru)**  
**President**

**(Ram Chand Gupta)**  
**Member**

**24.01.2017**  
P.Seth