

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7944 of 1994

Date of Decision: 10.07.2017

Dr. Naresh Mittal

---Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

----Respondents

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate &
 Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent-HUDA.

Mr. M.L. Sarin, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Arun Nehra, Advocate
for respondent No.15.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

The petitioner has challenged the allotment of premise to the private respondents.

The allotments were made in the year 1994. The allotments were stayed by this Court vide interim order dated 09.06.1994.

Learned Advocate General, Haryana, has relied upon an affidavit filed by the official respondents stating that the official respondents do not intend proceeding with the impugned allotments. He further states that the policy was changed in the year 1997.

In view thereof, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed as the allotments are to be made. The private respondents in whose favour allotments were made have not filed any proceedings.

The writ petition is therefore, dismissed by accepting the statement in the affidavit filed by official respondents and the statement made by the learned Advocate General, Haryana that the impugned allotments are not to be implemented.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

10.07.2017
atul

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No