

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 14237 of 1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.03.2017

Harbhajan Singh & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

**Punjab Mandi Board Through
its Secretary & Others**

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J. S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The above noted writ petition came to be filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction for quashing the impugned order dated 29.04.1999 (Annexure P-9) as also resolution No. 2 dated 05.07.1999 by which the seniority of the petitioners has been changed by wrongly interpreting the decision rendered by the Apex Court, vide judgment dated 15.12.1998 (Annexure P-8).

It is contended that the petitioners were regularized prior to the private respondents but were shown as junior to them.

A reply was filed in which it has been stated that the joint tentative seniority list of Clerks/Steno Typists had been prepared and objections, if any, had been called for and, therefore, the petitioners had remedy to challenge the said seniority list instead of filing the instant writ petition.

By an order dated 03.08.2001, learned counsel for the petitioners

had sought time to amend the petition for challenging the said seniority list which had been circulated. However, when this matter was taken up for hearing today, it is noted that the writ petition has not been amended in terms of the orders so passed.

Mr. J. S. Maanipur, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, seeks to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to challenge the seniority list in case need so arises.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

March 07, 2017

Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No