

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 16608 of 1997
Date of Decision: 01.02.2017**

Tarsem Monga

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Electricity Board & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J. S. Toor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner contends that he has not been able to contact his client.

The petitioner had preferred the instant writ petition seeking benefit of pay being fixed at the level of respondent No. 4. The address of the petitioner that has been furnished in the petition is the official address, so it would not be possible to serve the petitioner at his official address as the year of his birth is 1955 and it can fairly be presumed that he must have retired from service.

Therefore, the above noted petition is disposed of as not pressed. However, liberty is given to the petitioner that in case he wants to re-agitate his claim, he can do so by moving appropriate application.

February 01, 2017

Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*