

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16562 of 1997  
Date of Decision: March 30, 2017

Vanita Verma & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

Present: Mr.Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,  
for the State.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Mr.Abhilaksh Grover Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners and states that in view of the stand taken in paragraph 14 of the written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.6, the alleged encroachment in respect of Gair Mumkin Pahar, according to the petitioners, has already been stopped. In fact, there is no permission for encroachment, therefore the grievance of the petitioners stands vindicated.

Para 14 reads thus:-

*“14. That in reply to para No.14 of the writ petition it is submitted that petitioners have not ever submitted any documents showing proof or ownership and possession in their favour to answering respondent. However, it is further submitted that answering respondent would not allow respondent No.7 to encroach any land being part and parcel of 'Gair Mumkin' pahar in compliance with order dated 7.11.97 passed in C.W.P.No.5968 of 1996.”*

In view of the aforementioned position, no further cause of action survives in the present writ petition. The same is rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

**March 30, 2017**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No**