

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8647 of 1993 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.10.2017

Tek Chand Bansal and others

.. Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vishal R. Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. H. S. Grewal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. M. L. Saini, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the land owned by the petitioners was acquired, where notifications under Sections 36 and 42 of the Punjab Town Improvement Act, 1922 were issued on 21.12.1973 and 30.12.1976, respectively. The Government framed a policy on 19.1.1993, whereby the cases for release of plots/houses in any development scheme were to be considered in case the applicants fulfil the conditions laid down therein. As Improvement Trust, Patiala in the development scheme in question had earlier released constructed houses and the plots, subject to deposit of development charges in terms of the judgments of this court in Pushpinder Kumar Pathak v. State of Punjab, 2001(4) RCR (Civil) 37 and CWP No. 175 of 1991—Rajinder Kumar Sharma and others v. The State of Punjab and others, decided on 1.2.1995,

the case of the petitioners may also be considered in the light thereof.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that as the policy has been framed by the Government, in case the petitioners apply for any benefit under that policy, the case of the petitioners shall be considered in terms thereof.

In view of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of accordingly. The needful shall be done within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

25.10.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No