

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16666 of 1996

Date of Decision:21.12.2017

Union of India

... Petitioner

Vs.

Shri Mansa Ram and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. K.B. Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.1.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Respondent has failed to produce any material to show that the post of Projectionist carry higher pay scale than the Tracer from the year 1977. Thus, respondent has not made out a case so as to interfere with award passed by the Labour Court. Consequently, Labour Court has erred in extending the benefit of higher pay scale of the Projectionist post in the absence of any statutory provision, regulation or executive order which stipulate that the post of Projectionist carry higher pay scale than the Tracer from the year 1977.

Accordingly, award dated 10.05.1994 is set aside.

Writ petition stands allowed.

21.12.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No