

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12268 of 1999
Date of decision:03.02.2017**

Ajit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Gurcharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate, for
Mr. S.C.Pathela, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.1 to 5 to get the encroachment removed from PWD road as shown in yellow colour in the map starting from Income Tax office to Sirhind City from the private respondents no.6 to 22 in khasra no.64.

The Registry has placed this matter before the Court on account of effecting of service upon respondents no.6 to 22.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab submits that grievance of the petitioners stands vindicated as the State has initiated the proceedings under the Punjab Public Premises

(Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act 1973 against the private respondents and therefore, the proceedings in law had been taken.

In view of the aforementioned, I am of the view that no cause of action survives in the present writ petition owing to the prayer noticed above.

Resultantly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 03, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No