

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1446 of 1997.

Date of Decision:-19.05.2017.

Satpal Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have questioned the validity of Annexure P-5 dated 13.11.1996 by which their grievance relating to removal of pay anomaly between Azo Operators and Tracers are not balanced in view of the recommendation made by the Superintending Engineer vide communication dated 1.8.1996 (Annexure P-7). Perusal of Annexure P-5, it is evident that recommendation of the Superintending Engineer like para wise has not been taken into consideration and so also there is non appreciation in respect of various paragraphs like para 3, 6, 7, 10 and 13. Therefore, the Financial Commissioner and Secretary of Haryana PWD Irrigation Department is hereby directed to re-examine the petitioners' claim in the light of the recommendation made by the Superintending Engineer and pass a speaking

order within a period of 4 months from today and the same be communicated to the petitioners.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 19, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.