

115+236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-3946-SB of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: December 15, 2017

Dwarka Dass

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

CRM No.33124 of 2017

Heard.

Application is allowed and reply filed on behalf of
respondent No.2 is taken on record.

CRM No.29896 of 2015 IN/AND
CRA-S-3946-SB of 2015

None appears on behalf of the appellant.

There is an application, i.e. CRM No.39896 of 2015 for
condonation of delay of 1650 days in filing the appeal.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the
impugned judgment of acquittal was passed on 02.12.2010 and the
State did not prefer any appeal before this Court. The private
complainant has preferred the appeal in this Court.

I have gone through the application for condonation of delay so also the reply filed by learned counsel for respondent No.2. In my view, the delay of 1650 days is a huge delay and reasons given in the application are not satisfactory. This Court is not satisfied with the reasons for such a huge delay and looking to the fact that the judgment was rendered by the trial Court on 02.12.2010, there is no reason why the delay should be condoned.

Hence, the application, i.e. 29896 of 2015, for condonation of delay of 1650 days in filing the appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the main appeal, i.e. CRA-S-3946-SB of 2015 (O&M), against acquittal, also stands dismissed.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 15, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No